WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	United States of America v.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
		<u>Joziah</u>	Nephi Street	Case Number:	16-7024MJ		
	cordance are estal		e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	142(f), a detention hearing has been he	eld. I conclude that the following		
×	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.						
×		•	reponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant g trial in this case.				
			PAR	T I FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)		_ ,,,,,,	idant has been convicted of a (federal of a circumstance giving rise to federal jur			
			a crime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
			an offense for which the maxi	mum sentence is life imprisonment or o	death.		
			an offense for which a maxim	um term of imprisonment of ten years of	or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed a described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	after the defendant had been convicted 2(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	of two or more prior federal offenses ocal offenses.		
				or victim or that involves the possessic fined in section 921), or any other dang 2250.			
	(2)	(2) 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on relipending trial for a federal, state or local offense.					
	(3)	18 U.S convid	S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period oction)(release of the defendant fr	f not more than five years has elapsed rom imprisonment) for the offense desc	since the (date of cribed in finding 1.		
	(4)	Findin will re not re	ngs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establisl asonably assure the safety of (a butted this presumption.	h a rebuttable presumption that no connot not not not not not not not not no	dition or combination of conditions I further find that the defendant has		
			,	Alternative Findings			
	(1)	18 U.S	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probal	ble cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense		
			for which a maximum term of	imprisonment of ten years or more is p	rescribed in1		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956	6(a), or 2332b.			
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, f prescribed.	or which a maximum term of imprisonn	nent of 20 years or more is		
			an offense involving a minor v	victim under section	2		
	(2)	The d condit	efendant has not rebutted the pr	resumption established by finding 1 that appearance of the defendant as require	t no condition or combination of		

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
(4)					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
×	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
The d	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report.				
-					

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:16-mj-07024-BSB Document 8 Filed 01/21/16 Page 3 of 3

In addition:	

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 20th day of January, 2016.

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge